**Title of the Paper**: Stakeholders Participation on the Perceived Marine Pollution Control Measures

**Authors:** Robete, Jay Lord L., Jamonong, Rhona G., Eslita, Shernan M., Cabanting, Angel Mae C. and Saligumba, Janine C.

**COMMENTS & SUGGESTIONS**

The paper is very relevant in today’s times. This is helpful in the context of the disasters that are happening in the world right now. This can provide baseline data as to how residents and agencies concerned will be guided and be informed of the dangers that nature can do if she is not well taken cared of. However, there are some part sof the paper that need to be improved as follows:

1. The abstract of the paper must contain the essential elements as to what the study is all about, when, where, how, and why it is conducted. It must include the methodology, results, conclusions, and recommendations. Therefore, the first paragraph must be deleted. The abstract must also be written in one paragraph only.
2. The abstract must also be edited for brevity and precision. Some of the sentences are redundant and have run-on structures.
3. The Background of the Study must include the document sources cited. The first person point of view must be used in technical writing. The last paragraph of this section has the word “our” and “we.” This must be rephrased to the third person.
4. If you use the statement of the problem, do not include the objectives anymore or vice versa.
5. The Scope and Limitation does not align with the statement of the problem specifically on the intent of the study. Here, the aim of the study is “to determine the perceived extent of implementation and the level of awareness of the DENR….” which is not similar to those in the statement of the problem. Therefore, there is a need to revise for consistency.
6. Chapter 2 is RRL. Why does it mention something that must be included in Chapter 3? Paragraphs 4 & 5 may be somewhere in Chapter 3. The literature reviewed should have been organized in such a way that important details relevant to the study have been included not copying the whole information from the source.
7. Chapter 3 includes methodology. The paper is quanti-quali. But there is a mention of survey-correlational method. What is this? What is really the design of this study?
8. The last part of the Nature of the Research Design mentions the dependent variables. What and where is the independent variable? Revise for accuracy.
9. The sampling is purposive. In the Scope and Limitation, it was random. Which is which? Be consistent.
10. Remember, an interview guide is for qualitative research. There is a need to revisit the research instrument.
11. There is a validity, but where is the reliability? And the ethical consideration/s?
12. Chapter 4 summary goes back to the Statement of the Problem. Be consistent on the real intent of the paper. The statistical tools mentioned were frequency, percentage, and chi-square. Please consult your statistician regarding the presentation of data and the results.
13. Chapter 5 has to align with the results as presented in chapter 4.
14. Have the paper edited to correct grammar flaws, spelling, simplify sentence structures, and organization of the headings and sub-headings.
15. There is no reference list. There is a need to check the references against the citations included in the research paper.



Prof. Ma. Cecilia D. Alimen, PhD

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

*Reviewer’s Signature above Printed Name*